



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Marine Sanctuary System
Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary
175 Edward Foster Rd.
Scituate, MA 02055
(781) 545-8026 FAX: (781) 545-8036

16 SAC MINUTES

16th SANCTUARY ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary, Scituate, MA
11 July 2005

MINUTES OF MEETING

PRESENT

Bill Adler	Member: Fixed Gear Commercial Fishing
Regina Asmutis-Silvia	(Alternate to Susan Farady—Conservation)
Peter Borrelli	Member: Education
Priscilla Brooks	Member: Conservation
Dale Brown	(Alternate to Sally Yozell—At-Large)
Susan Farady	Member: Conservation
Barry Gibson	Member: Recreation
Don Hourihan	(Alternate to John Williamson—At-Large)
Steve Milliken	Member: Whale Watching
Judith Pederson	(Alternate to Peter Auster—Research)
David Pierce	(Designee for Paul Diodati) (Ex-Officio Member)
Kathi Rodrigues	(Designee for Patricia Kurkul) (Ex-Officio Member)
Steven Tucker	(Alternate to Deborah Cramer—At-Large)
John Tulik	(Alternate to Kathleen Dolan) (Ex-Officio Member)
Mason Weinrich	Member: Research
Dick Wheeler	Member: Education
John Williamson	Member: At-Large

SBNMS and NATIONAL PROGRAM STAFF

Craig MacDonald, Superintendent Ben Cowie-Haskell Nathalie Ward

OTHERS PRESENT

Timothy Feehan, Perot Systems Nick Rofo, Perot Systems Kent Thornton, FTN & Associates
David Bergeron

I. Welcome, Review of Agenda and Approval of 15th SAC Minutes (John Williamson)



Welcome and Recognition

John Williamson opened the meeting and welcomed all members to the 16th Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary (SBNMS) Sanctuary Advisory Council (SAC) meeting. All in attendance were advised that this meeting was being recorded.

Review of Agenda

The agenda was reviewed and accepted by the SAC.

Approval of 15th SAC Minutes

The following are revisions to the 15th SAC Minutes, as requested by SAC members:

- Bill Adler requested that "(Ex-Officio Member)" be removed from next to Dick Wheeler's name in the attendance list.

The minutes of the of the 15th SAC Meeting were accepted as amended.

II. Old and New SAC Business (John Williamson)

Northeast Gateway

Craig MacDonald provided a brief update on the Northeast Gateway Project. As of 2 weeks ago, Northeast Gateway filed for a license to proceed with the offshore LNG facility. With their proposal, Northeast Gateway is perusing 2 sites with a possibility of a 3rd site. The sites are in the vicinity of the originally proposed location. The Coast Guard has not yet made a determination.

The sanctuary addressed the adequacy of this proposal. The Coast Guard, under the Deep Water Ports Act, was asking for a review of the proposal by all affected agencies. The Coast Guard will continue to require consultation from both NOAA Fisheries and the sanctuary. The next step in the process will provide more opportunity for a sanctuary response. When the Coast Guard makes a determination, a letter from NOAA to the Coast Guard will be released.

Comment: Mason Weinrich commented that if the LNG facility is to be considered a deep water port, there has to be a report from Northeast Gateway that identifies any interactions with marine mammals, as had been discussed at the Baltimore, MD, take reduction meeting. The database that is used for such a report should be reviewed to ensure that the data is not just from the URI database.

Whale Data

Mason Weinrich provided an update on whale research currently being conducted in and around the sanctuary. David Wiley has completed 60 hours of observations of tagged whales. So far, 4 tags have been successfully attached to whales on or around Jeffreys Ledge and Platts bank. The state of the art technology being use has provided track data on movement and feeding behavior. This data also includes a tagged mother whale.

Currently, there is a lack of whales in the sanctuary area. The lack of bait, such as sand lance, seems to be a factor and may be the cause of the 4 year span with no whales in the area. Dave Wiley is still analyzing data for a report. Platts Bank is normal in whale activity. Jeffreys Ledge seems to be more active than normal, although no surface feeding behavior has been observed, which may be due to disturbance from herring vessels fishing in the area. Most whale watching activities are currently taking place on the back side of Cape Cod.

Next SAC Meeting

Nathalie Ward announced the date for the next SAC Meeting:

- November 9, 2005. Members will be polled for possible conflicts.

III. Sanctuary Vision Development

Visioning Guidance (Craig MacDonald)

Craig MacDonald provided guidance to the SAC concerning the vision process. Usually, a visioning process is conducted prior to work being done. In this case, much hard work has been completed to develop each Action Plan (AP) for the sanctuary. Developing a Vision Statement has been delayed, and now the SAC must work to create a vision. Each SAC member has provided an individual vision statement and the sanctuary has created a Synthesis Vision Statement based on the ideas presented (see Appendix A).

What the sanctuary would like to produce is a general, 'hopes and dreams' statement on what the sanctuary should be like at some point (5-10 years) in the future. Some of the individual statements were clear and to the point, while others were more detailed actions. The Synthesis Vision Statement is rather long and the sanctuary would like to have a shorter, more generalized vision statement developed.

The Sanctuary Vision Statement needs to reflect the work already completed by each Working Group (WG) and encompass the actions presented in each AP. Operational terms will be defined. The vision needs to be a 'visionary' statement on what the sanctuary should look like. The Management Plan (MP) only has jurisdiction on what is inside the sanctuary, or those activities outside the sanctuary with direct impacts on the SBNMS.

Questions & Answers

Question 1: Mason Weinrich asked for guidance on how species that use the sanctuary for a limited time should be viewed. Would they be sanctuary resources or not?

Answer: Any species using the sanctuary at any time is considered a sanctuary resource; however, we are only dealing with what happens within the sanctuary, not for example, dealing with what happens with whales that have used the sanctuary, but are now off the South Carolina coast.

Comment: Regina Asmutis-Silvia commented that the sanctuary has already sent the precedent of dealing with research outside the sanctuary boundary. For migratory species, one needs to look outside the box to protect what is inside the box.

Comment: Susan Farady stated that it was important to keep in mind that the Compatibility Determination (CD) WG suggested the need for a vision to make determinations on compatible uses. The vision needs to be an over-arching, broad statement. Parameters for measure will come later, further down in the steps outlined in each AP.

Question 2: Referring to the term 'restore', John Williamson asked if a benchmark is to be defined?

Answer: The Sanctuary Vision Statement should not set specific targets. The MP is a new beginning, laying the foundation for the next Plan. More specifics will be defined in the next MP. The terms are not yet operational. The specifics are contained in the APs.

Comment: Mason Weinrich commented that using 'restoration' implies damage has been done. It is also possible to protect the area before damage has been done. The vision is for the next 5 years; however, in the past 5 years something has gone wrong and we need to think about that.

Comment: Barry Gibson commented that care needed to be taken in stating that something is wrong in the SBNMS. Conditions may not be normal, but the SAC should be careful with its terminology.

Comment: Steve Milliken stated that in his opinion something was wrong. In 30 years of experience, cycles have been observed, but in this case whales are not coming back.

Question 3: Regina Asmutis-Silvia asked if the Sanctuary Vision Statement was to be a dynamic statement that could be altered in the future?

Answer: The Sanctuary Vision Statement can change as the plan changes. It can be modified. It is important to remember that the Sanctuary Vision Statement should be outcome oriented.

Comment: Dale Brown commented that the CD WG discussed this topic and that the vision should be general enough to stand the test of time. The vision needed to be stable and not constantly change. If it were to change constantly, all subsequent measures would need changing as well.

SAC Member Vision Statements and SBNMS Synthesis (Kent Thornton)

Kent Thornton reminded the SAC that the vision should reflect individual values and beliefs concerning the sanctuary. The members were asked to think of the vision as if they had the ultimate power to make the sanctuary a particular state, that state should be their vision. No specifics should be identified as they have been dealt with in the APs. The vision should also be long-term and help the MP move in the direction of that vision. It is most important for all SAC members to understand the concept of the vision.

Sanctuary staff reviewed each individual vision statement and created the Synthesis Vision Statement (located at the end of Appendix A). The vision should be taken as a whole.

SAC Vision Development

SAC members were asked to review the Synthesis Vision Statement (located at the end of Appendix A). All members were encouraged to discuss the Synthesis Vision Statement and request amendments. The Synthesis Vision Statement was discussed by David Pierce, Mason Weinrich, Barry Gibson, Judy Pederson, Peter Borrelli, Steven Tucker, Susan Farady, John Williamson, Dale Brown, Richard Wheeler, Steve Milliken and Priscilla Brooks.

Overall, members agreed that the intent and concepts of the Synthesis Vision Statement were well developed; however, the statement itself is too long for a vision statement. Some members were concerned that the terms used in the statement were not well defined. This would not be a problem if the terms are detailed in the MP. Many of the members were in agreement that the term 'ecological integrity' was important to retain in the statement. A few members, however, were not sure that the term would be fully understood by the general public.

There was concern from some members that the identification of only pioneering research would preclude ordinary research needed within the sanctuary. A few members raised a question as to why research is the only specifically stated human use in the statement. Other members suggested that many human uses were implied within the statement itself. Still, some members called for a description of human uses as being active within the sanctuary.

It was suggested by some members that it would be useful to consider the vision to be something that was 100 years into the future. These members also stated that the vision basically consisted of an environmental element, a mixed use element, and a management element. The vision itself could be narrowed down to 3 sentences. Other members were in agreement that the vision should be short,

consisting of general ideas and core elements. Having a 'happy', 'rich' environment was as important as having human uses.

There was some issue from a few members with using the term 'sanctuary'. To these members, the term does not fit what the area actually is. It was explained that the issue was understood, but it is purely a designated name. The sanctuary was set up to deal with a specific area and a designated name was set by the designation document.

John Williamson moved to accept the SBNMS Vision Statement. **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Barry Gibson.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members John Williamson, Peter Borrelli, David Pierce and Barry Gibson. It was stated by some members that the MP would seek to implement ecosystem base management. Therefore, the vision would need to include an ecological integrity component. These members suggested that there should be a statement to protect ecological integrity and protect human uses, if impacts were compatible with ecological integrity. It was also suggested by these members that the term 'fully protected' should not be used. Some other members stated that the term 'protection' should not be used in the vision at all. These members stated that the potential existed for the term to be misused in the future and become an issue.

Comment: Peter Borrelli commented that although the final vote for acceptance of the Vision Statement does not need to be unanimous, the SAC should work to get unanimous acceptance of the final statement. The process has gone on long enough and the SAC really needs agreement on the final Vision Statement..

Barry Gibson moved amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by using sentences 2, 3 and 8. **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Bill Adler.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Barry Gibson, Mason Weinrich, Priscilla Brooks, John Williamson, Susan Farady, Judy Pederson, Dale Brown and SBNMS Superintendent Craig MacDonald. A number of members were not in favor of this motion since it lost the component for restoration and protection. It was explained that the motion was specifically stated for that purpose since to include 'restore' and 'protect' would imply something in the sanctuary was broken and that some groups may have the view that no human use are compatible with protection.

Other members insisted that the ecology of the sanctuary was important. It was suggested by these members that the term 'paramount' could be used to capture the concept of restoration and protection. It was also cautioned by some members that there was no mention of natural or maritime heritage resources.

There was agreement from SAC members that there was some merit in the concept of the sanctuary being used by future generations. These members liked how the vision statement was broken down, but agreed that there were key elements missing.

The motion was rejected by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 4
- Nay: 8
- Abstain: 0

Dale Brown moved to amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by adding "active in the Sanctuary and conducted in an sustainable manner that preserves the ecological integrity and resources of the Sanctuary." to sentence 3. **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by John Williamson.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Dale Brown, John Williamson, Mason Weinrich, Susan Farady, Judy Pederson, Bill Adler and Kathi Rodrigues. Some of these members were in favor of adding the term 'resources'. However, other members were uncertain what the full definition of preserving resources was. These members were mainly cautious of using the term 'preserves'.

Some other members expressed that the wording was becoming too technical and losing the idea of a 'teeming' sanctuary. Other members stated that the vision should mention and support human uses in the sanctuary.

The motion was rejected by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 3
- Nay: 9
- Abstain: 0

Mason Weinrich moved to amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by removing all sentences past sentence 3. (**MOTION**) Motion seconded by Susan Farady.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Mason Weinrich, Susan Farady, Bill Adler, Peter Borrelli, John Williamson, Judy Pederson, Dale Brown, Steve Milliken, Barry Gibson, Kathi Rodrigues and Dick Wheeler. Many members were in agreement that the intent of the proposed changes were heading in the right direction. Most members agreed that the order of the sentences should be changed. There was some disagreement over using the term 'fully restored'.

Some members were not comfortable with stating that human uses should be compatible with resource protection. Other members stated that it is important to have human uses, but the uses need to be compatible with resource protection. It was suggested by a few members that the concept of ecosystem based management includes human uses. Ecosystem integrity captures the idea that human uses are involved in the system. However, other members stated that ecosystem integrity was too open to interpretation to assume that the need for human uses was captured. These members questioned if any human use would be considered compatible with an area that was protected. Members were reminded that accepting this motion just moved the process along and further amendments could be suggested.

Comment: Peter Borrelli commented that the issue with protection has come up many times. The SAC is an advisory council carrying out an act of congress. The term 'protection' should not be removed from every document. Congress wants the SAC to protect the sanctuary. Using the term 'fully' drives the point home that the sanctuary is a special place.

Comment: Kathi Rodrigues commented that in regard to the discussion on protection and restoration of the sanctuary, the National Marine Sanctuaries Act states:

"(3) to maintain the natural biological communities in the national marine sanctuaries, and to protect, and, where appropriate, restore and enhance natural habitats, populations, and ecological processes;"

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 8
- Nay: 3

- Abstain: 1

Mason Weinrich moved to amend the Vision Statement by reordering the first 2 sentences. **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Judy Pederson.

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 12
- Nay: 0
- Abstain: 0

Dale Brown moved to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "exhibiting natural size ranges". **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Mason Weinrich.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Dale Brown, Mason Weinrich, Susan Farady, Priscilla Brooks, Steve Milliken, David Pierce, Dick Wheeler, John Williamson and SBNMS Superintendent Craig MacDonald. With this amendment, it was cautioned that for improved ecological integrity, the age and size structure of species needed to be accounted for. Some members suggested that size and age would be implied if a need for diversity and healthy populations was stated. However, it was pointed out that there is the possibility to have a healthy population of very small fish, but that would not signify ecological integrity. The statement was missing an explanation of how everything should function as a healthy ecosystem. It was suggested by some members that the term 'teeming' would imply healthy fish populations. Some members also stated that indicating size ranges was specific to fisheries management and that the Sanctuary Vision Statement needed to be more broad.

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 12
- Nay: 0
- Abstain: 0

Priscilla Brooks moved to amend the Vision Statement by adding "and abundance". **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Judy Pederson.

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 12
- Nay: 0
- Abstain: 0

Bill Adler moved to amend the Vision Statement by deleting sentence 2 and adding "with maintaining the ecological integrity of the sanctuary". **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Steve Milliken.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Bill Adler, Steve Milliken, Susan Farady, Priscilla Brooks, Peter Borrelli, Mason Weinrich, Judy Pederson, John Williamson, Barry Gibson and SBNMS Superintendent Craig MacDonald. Some members still questioned if any human use would be considered compatible with protection. It was explained that although resource protection is an absolute, levels of use could be modified to maintain the compatibility of that use. As the sanctuary is looking to institute dynamic management, the management of the area would not be fixed. Items would be allowed to change as needed.

There were some members who were concerned that the idea of maintaining healthy resources was still not clearly defined. These members stated that sand, a resource, is not alive and so could not be classified as 'healthy'. Some mention would be needed for both living and non-living sustainable resources so that future generations could enjoy them. Other members were concerned that there was no mention about species populations. It was stated by a few members that it is known that the sanctuary is part of the larger Gulf of Maine (GoM) ecosystem, but fish populations could not be managed by the sanctuary since the populations span beyond sanctuary borders.

The motion was rejected by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 3
- Nay: 9
- Abstain: 0

Bill Adler to amend the Vision Statement by adding "with maintaining healthy resources".
(MOTION) Motion seconded by Dale Brown.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Bill Adler, Dale Brown, Susan Farady, Judy Pederson, Peter Borrelli and SBNMS Superintendent Craig MacDonald. There was some concern among some members about stating that a human use being compatible with resource protection and being sustainable sounds too much like fisheries management. It was suggested to use the term 'healthy'. However, some members questioned how an abiotic resource could be defined as healthy. Other members suggested that the term 'healthy' has an implied meaning and that the public would understand the intent.

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 10
- Nay: 1
- Abstain: 1

Susan Farady moved to amend the Vision Statement by adding sentence "The sanctuary is a living laboratory and class room instilling an understanding and appreciation of the marine environment, and stewardship for its protection for future generations." **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Mason Weinrich.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Susan Farady, Mason Weinrich, John Williamson, Barry Gibson, Peter Borrelli, Priscilla Brooks, Dale Brown, Steve Milliken and Judy Pederson. A few members argued that such a statement was too specific. Education and research is important, but specifically stating one human use precludes all other uses. What is needed is a broader vision with core principles. Other members stated that education and research were different than other uses and fit into a different category. Members did agree that the concept of protection for future generations was appealing.

The motion was rejected by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 6
- Nay: 6
- Abstain: 0

Priscilla Brooks moved to amend the Vision Statement by adding "for current and future generations". **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Peter Borrelli.

Comment: David Pierce commented that the sanctuary and other agencies and organizations involved in management of the SBNMS and adjacent living and non-living marine resources share common resource protection goals and objectives.

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 12
- Nay: 0
- Abstain: 0

Steve Milliken moved to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "fully" from sentence 2. **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Bill Adler.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Steve Milliken, Bill Adler, Priscilla Brooks, Barry Gibson and Susan Farady. Some members were still not comfortable with including the term 'fully'. Some members explained that 'fully' was referring specifically to ecological integrity and not to resources. However, other members stated that including the term sets measures and should not be included in the statement.

The motion was rejected by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 5
- Nay: 7
- Abstain: 0

Dick Wheeler moved to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "and functioning". **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by Mason Weinrich.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Dick Wheeler, Mason Weinrich, Steve Milliken, Priscilla Brooks, Peter Borrelli, Susan Farady and Judy Pederson. There was caution that referring to a clean ocean could lead to a situation where the water was too clean. Other members suggested that the public understands the concept of clean ocean water, and that the phrase was quite evocative and should remain. Other members cautioned that there were many pollutants in the ocean that originate from the air.

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 7
- Nay: 4
- Abstain: 1

Judy Pederson moved to amend the Vision Statement by adding "natural and cultural resources". **(MOTION)** Motion seconded by John Williamson.

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 6

- Nay: 5
- Abstain: 1

Barry Gibson moved to amend the Vision Statement by adding "protected and fully restored ".
(MOTION) Motion seconded by Bill Adler.

Discussion: The motion was discussed by SAC members Barry Gibson, Bill Adler, Mason Weinrich Peter Borrelli, John Williamson, Priscilla Brooks Dale Brown, Kathi Rodrigues and SBNMS Superintendent Craig MacDonald. It was indicated to the SAC that the vision did not include any mention of the sanctuary being part of the larger GoM. A few members explained that such a statement was not necessary as that concept was understood. In the interest of keeping the statement short, that should not be included.

There was still a question concerning the use of 'fully protected'. Some members expressed that considering the SAC's intent to come to a unanimous decision, keeping that phrase would be a deal breaker, but the that there would be no problem with 'fully restored'. There was still support from some members for 'fully protected'. These members stated that 'fully protected' referred to ecological integrity and not to specific resources.

The motion was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 11
- Nay: 1
- Abstain: 0

After much deliberation and the many amendments detailed above, the original motion to accept the Vision Statement was accepted by the SAC with the following voting results (see Appendix C for voting record):

- Yea: 12
- Nay: 0
- Abstain: 0

IV. Public Comment

No public comment was given.

V. 16th SAC Meeting Adjourned

The 16th SAC Meeting was Adjourned at 2:00 PM

SUMMARY of MOTIONS

11 July 2005

- *MOTION to accept the SBNMS Vision Statement. .*
- *MOTION to amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by using sentences 2, 3 and 8.*
(MOTION FAILED)

- ***MOTION to amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by adding "active in the Sanctuary and conducted in an sustainable manner that preserves the ecological integrity and resources of the Sanctuary." to sentence 3.
(MOTION FAILED)***
- ***MOTION to amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by removing all sentences past sentence 3.***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by reordering the first 2 sentences.***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "exhibiting natural size ranges".***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "and abundance".***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by deleting sentence 2 and adding "with maintaining the ecological integrity of the sanctuary".
(MOTION FAILED)***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "with maintaining healthy resources".***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding sentence "The sanctuary is a living laboratory and class room instilling an understanding and appreciation of the marine environment, and stewardship for its protection for future generations."
(MOTION FAILED)***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "for current and future generations".***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "fully" from sentence 2.
(MOTION FAILED)***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "and functioning".***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "natural and cultural resources".***
- ***MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "protected and fully restored ".***

APPENDIX A
SBNMS SAC VISION

I. Compilation of Visions Submitted by SAC Members and Alternates

A.

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary acknowledges that the Sanctuary cannot simply be defined by physical boundaries but must be defined as part of a dynamic ecosystem created by biological and physical parameters, including the human community. The Sanctuary agrees that due to the dynamic nature of this area, protection of the Sanctuary must consider events beyond the physical boundaries as designated on charts. The Sanctuary does not belong to one organism, individual, or community- each plays a crucial role in its health and existence. As such, the Sanctuary agrees to work with, and consider, all aspects of these roles and their significance when determining how best to ensure conservation of this area.

B.

Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary will serve as a global example of managing for the conservation and sustainable use of the biological diversity, as well as marine heritage resources, within a temperate marine protected area.

C.

To maintain and enhance access for historical user groups through proper stewardship and management.

D.

The ecological integrity of Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is fully restored and protected. The Sanctuary is teeming with a full diversity of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats. Whale watching, recreational and commercial fishing, commercial shipping, recreational boating and other human uses are active in the Sanctuary and conducted in an ecologically sustainable manner that preserves the ecological integrity of the Sanctuary. The Sanctuary is a laboratory supporting cutting edge research to improve our understanding of the marine ecosystem, marine biodiversity, and human interactions with the marine ecosystem. The Sanctuary is a living classroom for all ages instilling a sense of wonder, understanding and appreciation of the marine environment along with a feeling of responsibility for its protection for future generations.

E.

Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary has diverse and abundant populations of marine plants and animals, reflecting the ecosystems of the Gulf of Maine, and particularly the species and assemblages characterizing the banks. Sanctuary habitats and populations support an active and sustainable fishery, both commercial and recreational. Opportunities are provided for coastal residents and visitors to visit the sanctuary and to view and learn about the resources and history of the site.

F.

The natural resources of the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary will be healthy and robust, with degraded habitat rebuilt, and whale and fish populations restored to their historic levels of abundance and top predators returned to their historic sizes and ages at maturity. The natural resources of the sanctuary will be protected from, trophic cascades, noise pollution, and invasive species. Both natural and archeological resources will be protected from threats to water quality and changes in water temperature from both ocean and shore-based activities.

G.

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary will be a sanctuary. Its biological communities will be restored, enhanced, protected, and maintained; human use of the sanctuary will be facilitated to the extent it is compatible with the primary objective of protecting natural resources.

H.

Stellwagen Bank is a unique geological feature and vibrant ecological site within the Gulf of Maine marine ecosystem. Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is a healthy and functioning natural community containing abundant populations of fish, marine mammals, seabirds, benthic invertebrates and other sea life; diverse boulder reef, mud basin and sandy gravel habitats; and unique submerged cultural resources, all in clean ocean waters. Human uses of Sanctuary resources, such as shipping, whale watching, fishing, and boating, are conducted in ways that are compatible with the site's primary purpose of resource protection, and ensure that the Sanctuary's rich resources are there for future as well as current generations. The Sanctuary's natural communities and cultural resources are maintained, protected and restored through a comprehensive, coordinated approach using innovative management, scientific research and public awareness to sustain this valuable yet vulnerable site for generations to come.

I.

My feelings for the direction for the vision for SBNMS should consist foremost for a healthy environment in which marine life can grow and have healthy balanced stock. The need to establish an environment able to sustain and keep marine life in the area should be the main priority in the first stages of development of a vision. Without an environment that can build and hold a basic marine food chain it is my belief that the SBNMS will not recover from it's existing condition. The building block for this vision should include but not be limited to:

- Healthy water quality levels to sustain normal habitat to the food chain and a balanced habitat for marine life reproduction. This is in reference to normal salinity levels within the mass bay region. Chemical introduction to the habitat to establish a "sterile water quality" should be monitored and evaluated for damaging affects.
- Maintaining a healthy balance for marine life species with monitoring of the habitats food chain and ecosystem.
- Allow historically commercial uses consistent with uses at the present time that does not severely alter or destroy the sanctuary example, (scallop fishing: turns the bottom of sandy areas but does not create damaging long term impact of the sea floor).
- Limits of acceptable change (LAC) format should be used to maintain and enhance the usage of SBNMS for commercial & recreational usage without irreversible harm to the habitat.
- Input for public and commercial use should be used for framework to establish an acceptable S-CAP layout.

J.

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is a unique region within the North Atlantic that is of value for its biodiversity, its natural resources, and for its mixed use by marine-based industries. The mission of the SBNMS is to ensure that its resources are preserved, protected, sustained, and used wisely to ensure maximum benefits for future generations.

K.

My vision for SBNMS is dominated by my conviction that future generation will criticize us for not making an effort to set aside a big chunk.... let's say 30% as TRUE sanctuary. Otherwise the whole effort amounts to something akin to a mercy killing over a very long period of time. There are ample examples world wide...and they are all positive with regard to results.... As it is...I cringe every time I use the word "sanctuary" as it applies to SBNMS.... It's a battle we might lose...but it is, in my opinion a battle that should be fought.... At the very least it would be a good awareness raiser. I'm sure you saw the release of determination that there is a very good likelihood that the Nova Scotia

Cod Fishery is lost forever.... they aren't coming back.... and there seems to be evidence that Georges Bank will be a ditto.... For us not to make an attempt to save the inshore fishery with stronger methods than we've dared discuss is right up there with Nero playing his fiddle while Rome burned....

L.

As a minimum standard, the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary Program will manage various elements contributing to environmental quality within the Sanctuary at a condition that represents the best state that has been observed and recorded in living memory (i.e., within the Twentieth Century). The Program will restore degraded ecological services, bio-communities and habitats to achieve this minimum benchmark; where high environmental quality currently exists, the Program will at least maintain that level of quality; and whenever possible the Program should seek to improve upon existing conditions, establishing new minimum benchmarks for the future.

Similarly, the Program should seek to maintain submerged cultural heritage resources *in situ*, and to the extent possible protect them from further degradation.

Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is proximate to a burgeoning urban population. Human use is a part of the Sanctuary environment and patterns of use will change over time. The Program will employ management strategies that provide the greatest number of people access to the Sanctuary and its resources, while constraining the cumulative impacts of all these activities below a threshold that might threaten minimum standards of quality.

Recognizing that the Sanctuary is an important waypoint in the annual cycle of life for a much larger ecosystem, the Program will lead in advancing cooperative agreements for marine conservation in a regional context. The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary will serve as the premier example of conservator of natural heritage integrity to which all other authorities and institutions must rise.

M.

I envision the Gerry E. Studds/ Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary as an area unique unto itself and representative of an ecosystem, where every possible effort will be made to protect and restore marine life, where together we can demonstrate the valued society of sustainability and biological diversity, and where the activities of humans will be respectful of the area's special significance to the region and the nation.

N.

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary (SBNMS) is a dynamic interactive environment where fishermen, scientists, educators, others who rely on the SBNMS for their livelihoods, and interested citizens work collaboratively with respect for all roles to facilitate wise stewardship of sanctuary resources that support all types of human activities and needs including fishing, research, transportation, observation, and learning about our natural and human environment. The SBNMS is a place where people work together and strive to achieve the following goals:

O.

The vision of the MEP is to routinely patrol Stellwagen and enforce fisheries regulations, including the requirements of the ALWTRP and the HPTRP.

II. Key Words/Phrases/Concepts Used To Define Sanctuary Vision (derived from above)

- Access
- Balance
- Compatible use
- Clean water
- Cumulative impact
- Diversity
- Functioning
- Global model
- Gulf of Maine connectivity
- Healthy
- History
- Historic abundance
- Innovative, coordinated management
- Integrity
- Laboratory/classroom
- Opportunities
- Protect archaeology
- Research/monitoring
- Restoration
- Restore ecological services
- Robust
- Species relationships
- Stewardship
- Sustainable
- Traditional use access
- True sanctuary
- Unique
- Visitation
- Wonder
- Vibrant
- Vulnerable

III. Synthesis Vision

The ecological integrity of Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is fully restored and protected. The sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity of marine plants and animals exhibiting natural size ranges supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. Human uses are diverse and compatible with resource protection. Maritime heritage resources, such as shipwrecks, are undisturbed by human activity. The sanctuary provides an exemplary framework for comprehensive, coordinated, and innovative management of resources. The sanctuary is an integral part that contributes to the healthy functioning of the Gulf of Maine ecosystem. The sanctuary is a laboratory supporting pioneering research to improve our understanding of the marine ecosystem and human interactions with it. The sanctuary is a living classroom for all ages instilling a sense of wonder, understanding and appreciation of the marine environment along with a feeling of responsibility and commitment for its protection for future generations.

APPENDIX B

SAC Vision Statement



July 11, 2005

Motion Log

Motion 1: To accept the SBNMS Vision Statement.

Amendment 1.A: To amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by using sentences 2, 3 and 8 of the Synthesis Vision to create the following Vision Statement:

The sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity of marine plants and animals supported by Human uses are active in the Sanctuary and conducted in an ecologically sustainable manner that preserves the ecological integrity of the Sanctuary. Diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. The sanctuary is a living classroom for all ages instilling a sense of wonder, understanding and appreciation of the marine environment along with a feeling of responsibility and commitment for its protection for future generations.

(Amendment Fails)

Amendment 1.B: To amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by adding "active in the Sanctuary and conducted in an sustainable manner that preserves the ecological integrity and resources of the Sanctuary." to sentence 3 of the Synthesis Vision to create the following Vision Statement:

The ecological integrity of Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is fully restored and protected. The sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity of marine plants and animals exhibiting natural size ranges supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. Human uses are active in the Sanctuary and conducted in an sustainable manner that preserves the ecological integrity and resources of the Sanctuary. Maritime heritage resources, such as shipwrecks, are undisturbed by human activity. The sanctuary provides an exemplary framework for comprehensive, coordinated, and innovative management of resources. The sanctuary is an integral part that contributes to the healthy functioning of the Gulf of Maine ecosystem. The sanctuary is a laboratory supporting pioneering research to improve our understanding of the marine ecosystem and human interactions with it. The sanctuary is a living classroom for all ages instilling a sense of wonder, understanding and appreciation of the marine environment along with a feeling of responsibility and commitment for its protection for future generations.

(Amendment Fails)

Amendment 1.C: To amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by removing all sentences past sentence 3 of the Synthesis Vision to create the following Vision Statement:

The ecological integrity of Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is fully restored and protected. The sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity of marine plants and animals exhibiting natural size ranges supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. Human uses are diverse and compatible with resource protection.

Amendment 1.D: To amend the Vision Statement by reordering the first 2 sentences to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity of marine plants and animals exhibiting natural size ranges supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. The ecological integrity of sanctuary is fully restored and protected. Human uses are diverse and compatible with resource protection.

Amendment 1.E: To amend the Vision Statement by deleting "exhibiting natural size ranges" to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. The ecological integrity of sanctuary is fully restored and protected. Human uses are diverse and compatible with resource protection.

Amendment 1.F: To amend the Vision Statement by adding "and abundance" to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is fully restored and protected. Human uses are diverse and compatible with resource protection.

Amendment 1.G: To amend the Vision Statement by deleting sentence 2 and adding "with maintaining the ecological integrity of the sanctuary" to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining the ecological integrity of the sanctuary. The ecological for future generations.

(Amendment Fails)

Amendment 1.H: To amend the Vision Statement by adding "with maintaining healthy resources" to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is fully restored and protected. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining healthy resources.

Amendment 1.I: To amend the Vision Statement by adding sentence "The sanctuary is a living laboratory and class room instilling an understanding and appreciation of the marine environment, and stewardship for its protection for future generations." to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is fully restored and protected. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining healthy resources. The sanctuary is a living laboratory and class room instilling an understanding and appreciation of the marine environment, and stewardship for its protection for future generations.

(Amendment Fails)

Amendment 1.J: To amend the Vision Statement by adding "for current and future generations" to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is fully restored and protected for current and future generations. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining healthy resources.

Amendment 1.K: To amend the Vision Statement by deleting "fully" from sentence 2 to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy and functioning habitats and clean ocean water. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is restored and protected for current and future generations. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining healthy resources.

(Amendment Fails)

Amendment 1.L: To amend the Vision Statement by deleting "and functioning" to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy habitats in clean ocean waters. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is fully restored and protected for current and future generations. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining healthy resources.

Amendment 1.M: To amend the Vision Statement by adding "natural and cultural resources" to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy habitats in clean ocean waters. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is fully restored and protected for current and future generations. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining natural and cultural resources.

Amendment 1.N: To amend the Vision Statement by adding "protected and fully restored " to create the following:

The Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary is teeming with a great diversity and abundance of marine plants and animals supported by diverse, healthy habitats in clean ocean waters. The ecological integrity of the sanctuary is protected and fully restored for current and future generations. Human uses are diverse and compatible with maintaining natural and cultural resources.

APPENDIX C

SAC Voting Record, July 11, 2005

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to accept the SBNMS Vision Statement.

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate	X		
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 12 0 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by using sentences 2, 3 and 8.

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member		X	
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member		X	
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate		X	
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member		X	
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member		X	
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member		X	
Williamson	John	SAC Member		X	
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate		X	

Totals: 4 8 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by adding "active in the Sanctuary and conducted in an sustainable manner that preserves the ecological integrity and resources of the Sanctuary." to sentence 3.

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member		X	
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate		X	
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member		X	
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member		X	
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate	X		
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member		X	
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member		X	
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member		X	
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member		X	
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member		X	
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 3 9 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Synthesis Vision Statement by removing all sentences past sentence 3.

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member		X	
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate			X
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate	X		
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member		X	
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate		X	

Totals: 8 3 1

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by reordering the first 2 sentences.

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate	X		
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 12 0 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "exhibiting natural size ranges".

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate	X		
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 12 0 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "and abundance".

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate	X		
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 12 0 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by deleting sentence 2 and adding "with maintaining the ecological integrity of the sanctuary".

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate		X	
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member		X	
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member		X	
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate		X	
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member		X	
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member		X	
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member		X	
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member		X	
Williamson	John	SAC Member		X	
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 3 9 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "with maintaining healthy resources".

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate		X	
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate	X		
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member			X
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 10 1 1

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding sentence "The sanctuary is a living laboratory and class room instilling an understanding and appreciation of the marine environment, and stewardship for its protection for future generations."

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member		X	
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member		X	
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate		X	
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member		X	
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member		X	
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member		X	
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 6 6 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "for current and future generations".

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate	X		
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 12 0 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "fully" from sentence 2.

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member		X	
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member		X	
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate		X	
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member		X	
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member		X	
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member		X	
Williamson	John	SAC Member		X	
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals: 5 7 0

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by deleting "and functioning".

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate		X	
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member		X	
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate		X	
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member		X	
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member			X
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals:

7

4

1

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "natural and cultural resources".

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member		X	
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate		X	
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member		X	
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member		X	
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member		X	
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member			X
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals:

6

5

1

**SBNMS SAC Vision Statement
Voting Sheet**

MOTION to amend the Vision Statement by adding "protected and fully restored ".

Last	First	SAC Designation	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Adler	William	SAC Member	X		
Pederson	Judith	SAC Alternate	X		
Barrett	Edward	SAC Member	Absent		
Borrelli	Peter	SAC Member	X		
Brooks	Priscilla	SAC Member	X		
Tucker	Steve	SAC Alternate		X	
Eldridge	William	SAC Member	Absent		
Farady	Susan	SAC Member	X		
Gibson	Barry	SAC Member	X		
Milliken	Steve	SAC Member	X		
Weinrich	Mason	SAC Member	X		
Wheeler	Richard	SAC Member	X		
Williamson	John	SAC Member	X		
Brown	Dale	SAC Alternate	X		

Totals:

11

1

0